Abstract—This paper sheds light on the role of visualization methods within the design thinking process. It provides a conceptual framework showing illustrative examples of visualization for each phase indicating its functions and benefits. Based on a thorough understanding of the functions and benefits of knowledge visualization in general, this paper seeks to provide a first overview of the use of visualization in design thinking informing and supporting practitioners and researcher for more conscious selections of visualization methods in their design thinking efforts.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Design thinking is the application of designer’s tools, processes and mind-sets to non-design domains such as IT, healthcare or business in general to tackle wicked problems [1]. At the forefront of the development of design thinking was the design company IDEO and Stanford Hasso Plattner Institute of Design, also known as d.school, who introduced design thinking to business problems but also to problems of the society at large, e.g. blood donation [2]. In recent years, design thinking has become very popular among organizations and universities around the world.

In design thinking, inter-disciplinary teams work on design challenges in which they aim to identify where the problem is by empathizing with the user through observation and interviewing. Based on this understanding, they aim to define a problem statement that inspires and guides solution finding which is also known as “point of view” (POV). Based on this problem statement, ideas on how to find solutions to that problems are created, firstly by thinking divergently about possible solutions and then choosing converging the best possible solution. Based on these ideas, prototypes are created in the form of tangible physical objects or drawings that invite users and team members to test them, give feedback and refine the solutions [3].

The use of visualization in design thinking is fundamental as it helps to share and communicate ideas, create common understanding, speeds up the process for more and faster innovation cycles, and enables insights leading to action [4]. However, while the use of PowerPoint and bullet lists is limited due to constrains [5, 6] and “being visual” is emphasized, the use of visualization methods in design thinking remains largely applied without neither reflection nor academic investigation. Some exceptions are the work on diagrams in design thinking [7] and the role of visual support for group facilitation [8]. Therefore, a better understanding is necessary of the different forms of visuals, their use in specific phases within design thinking, what principles they convey and how they affect the cognitive, emotional and social dimensions of design thinking work.

This paper aims to contribute to the body of research on design thinking by establishing a conceptual framework of knowledge visualization within the design thinking process. In this first modest attempt, we aim to map key visuals into the different stages of the design thinking process and describe their functions and benefits. In addition, we will provide an overview of future research avenues to inform and motivate future empirical research.

II. RELATED WORK IN KNOWLEDGE VISUALIZATION

The application and benefits of knowledge visualization have been widely discussed in fields such as strategy [9], knowledge management [10] and psychology [11]. However, the paramount role of visual reasoning in many instances of problem solving has not been recognized yet [12].

In order to fully understand how design thinking teams can benefit from using visualization, we need to understand the role of visualization through different theoretical lenses. The human brain processes visual information more efficiently compared to written information: when the same information is provided both in written and visual form (such as with a key-word and a corresponding icon), performances are enhanced. According to Dual Coding Theory [13], this effect is because our brain processes visual information and verbal/textual information in two different areas of the brain.

Visually displaying ideas through a visualization offers the benefits to enable participants to externalize
thoughts and their connections [14] using both their verbal and visual channel [15], thus making it easier for the discussants to build on each other’s ideas [16] and to remember the discussed topics better [17]. Mapping the dialogue visually can help overcome cognitive constraints, such as information overload [18] and the finite amount of working memory claimed by cognitive load theory and thus visualizations help to unload our working memory to have more capacity for sense making [19]. In addition, visualizations have the following cognitive, emotional and social functions in collaboration, which design thinking teams can benefit from [20]:

- **Cognitive functions**
  - Facilitating elicitation and synthesis
  - Enabling new perspectives
  - More exhaustive comparisons
  - Easier recall

- **Emotional functions**
  - Creating involvement and engagement
  - Providing inspiration
  - Providing convincing communication

- **Social functions**
  - Integrating different perspectives
  - Assisting mutual understanding
  - Showing interdependencies

Based on this generic understanding of the value of knowledge visualization, we aim to showcase its functions more specifically within the context of design thinking. Therefore, the next section shows the conceptual framework and one visualization method for each of the five design thinking process steps together with its functions and benefits.

### III. VISUALIZATION METHODS IN DESIGN THINKING

When and how can knowledge visualization support design thinking activities? Based on the suggested benefits of knowledge visualization in the previous section, we explore more closely at which points of the design thinking process what type of visualization can be most effectively employed.

We start by taking the design thinking process established at Stanford d.school which is characterised by five stages – empathize, define, ideate, prototype and test, and, by synthesising the results of the related work in knowledge visualization presented above, considering how visualization can support the main function at each stage. We must, however, recognize that the steps – offered here in a sequential logic for the clarity of the argumentation – are often cyclical in reality [21].

This synthesis aims to address the following questions for each stage of the design thinking process:

- What type of content needs to be represented? (content);
- What are the expected advantages of using visualization for design thinking? (benefits); and
- What are the appropriate visualization formats or methods that can be used? (visualization methods).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design thinking phases</th>
<th>Empathize</th>
<th>Define</th>
<th>Ideate</th>
<th>Prototype</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main function</strong></td>
<td>Identify the right user, uncover their needs, discover their emotions</td>
<td>Unpack and synthesize findings into needs and insights, scope a meaningful and actionable problem statement</td>
<td>Idea generation (diverging), idea selection (converging)</td>
<td>Translate ideas into tangible artefact, enable user and team to interact with prototype</td>
<td>Refine the solution, enable user and team to give feedback, learn more about the user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content view</strong></td>
<td>Stakeholder, needs, emotions, insights</td>
<td>Findings, needs, insights</td>
<td>Ideas</td>
<td>Application of ideas</td>
<td>Opinions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits view</strong></td>
<td>Associate lateral thinking, configuration, perspective and level switches</td>
<td>Aggregation of data, facilitate elicitation and synthesis, insight enabler</td>
<td>Compilation space, associate thinking, enabling new perspectives, more exhaustive comparisons</td>
<td>Creating involvement and engagement, provide inspiration, tracking and showing interdependencies</td>
<td>Filter function, showing missing information, conflict mediator, documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visualization methods view</strong></td>
<td>Mind map, stakeholder map, empathy map, conceptual map of gathered data, laddering interview maps</td>
<td>Concept maps, matrix, Venn diagram, Personas</td>
<td>Diverging: Collaborative sketches, nugget frame, duo mind map, brain writing Converging: Conceptual diagrams, matrix, Venn diagram, dot voting</td>
<td>Low resolution prototypes: Sketches, mock-ups, customer journeys, Sankey diagram, Confluence diagram High resolution prototypes: physical objects</td>
<td>Plus-delta feedback grid, feedback capture grid, PPCO feedback form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE VISUALIZATION IN DESIGN THINKING**
These three levels or perspectives, when mapped with the stages of design thinking, build our framework for knowledge visualization in design thinking (Table 1). This framework is in line with the suggestions of MacInnis, as we propose a conceptual framework with the goal of explicating and delineating the role of knowledge visualization within the design thinking process [22]. The framework contains various indicators for the practice of design thinking: in the content view, the framework shows the information that should be visualised based on the main function of that particular phase, in the benefit view it emphasises the benefit to be achieved by applying visualization while the visualization methods view indicates which tools and methods should be used.

A. Empathize

The main function of this phase is for the design thinking team to observe, engage and immerse with the user and their experiences. It is about learning to see things with “a fresh set of eyes”. At the core of this phase is to identify the right users, uncovering their needs and discovering their emotions which guides all innovation efforts. In order to identify the rights users, stakeholder maps are produced to understand the different kind of users, how they are connected and within which systems they operate.

Fig. 1. Stakeholder map
(Source: HPI Potsdam, Basic track 2017/18)

Main function: Identify the rights users and understand their context
What is represented: The stakeholders (e.g. nurse, family members) and the systems (e.g. health care delivery system) that the user (patient) is surrounded by
How it is visualized: with a conceptual diagram using position and size for additional meaning
Medium: computer software (e.g. PowerPoint), whiteboard, paper, or flipchart with post-it-notes

In this phase of divergent thinking, it is important for the design thinking team to visualize the user and other stakeholders, in order to make the context the user is in tangible and to clarify it, but not prematurely commit to any solution design. While verbal and textual information is abstract, visualization makes concepts more concrete and thus manageable. Visualizing the stakeholder and the systems as part of the context is also useful for discussing it with other team members, coaches and even the project partners, who can more easily “see” the key user and other stakeholders and give feedback or contributions. In particular sketching a stakeholder map on paper allows for easy modifications and additions, and this in a collaborative mode; it allows rapid changes and facilitates the emergence of ideas or options.

B. Define

The main function of this phase is to unpack and synthesize findings into needs and insights to scope a specific and meaningful design challenge. This includes to develop a deep understanding of the user and coming up with an actionable problem statement, also known as “point of view” (POV). The POV is a guiding statement based on specific users, needs and insights and acts as a unique design vision. A good POV frames the problem, captures the heart and mind of the user, inspires the team and acts as a reference for competence ideas.

Fig. 2. Age-activity connection for chronically ill people regarding coping activities
(Source: www.thedarkhorse.de)

Main function: Synthesizing findings into needs and insights to scope the design challenge
What is represented: The interviewed and observed users organized in two dimensions: Time of diagnosis (as child vs. as adult) and type of coping activity (pro-active engagement with the disease vs. passive suffering from disease)
How it is visualized: with a conceptual visualization, using a 2-by-2 matrix as template
Medium: computer software, e.g. PowerPoint

Conceptual templates such as a Matrix and Venn diagram help to synthesize and analyze the findings. The dimensions of the templates typically derive from the data about the user, in the example, the time of diagnosis and type of coping activity of chronically ill people. The visual
templates are used as a large scale poster with post-its, occasionally also with a software application.

C. Ideate

The main function of the “Ideate” phase is idea generation which includes exploring wide solution spaces and focusing on the quantity and diversity of ideas before evaluating and choosing ideas. The distinction of diverging phases (creating options) and converging phases (choosing options) is critical to the quantity, originality and feasibility of ideas. In the convergent phase, evaluation, voting and synthesizing of ideas is critical for the later phases. This phase represents the transition from identifying problems to exploring solutions for users.

![Free ideation session for a multidimensional urban development project “Radbahn”](source: HPI Potsdam, Basic track 2017/18)

Main function: Enabling free visualization of ideas for a solution of the problem

What is represented: The idea spectrum of all team members less how to find solution for creating safer options for biking in the local neighborhood

How it is visualized: with conceptual and metaphoric hand drawings and post-it-notes

Medium: whiteboard, paper, or flipchart

In this phase, design thinkers can rely on simple visualizations such as noting ideas on post-it-notes to impactful visualizations such as visual metaphors. Metaphors offer the advantage that they “convey an insight about the represented information through the key characteristic of the metaphor that is employed” [23]. In Figure 4, the opportunity cloud and the idea of raining ideas is used to communicate the ‘opportunities’ of the design challenge. The metaphor attracts attention and fosters visual memory in the viewers, compared to text, because it leverages the emotional reactions, and familiarity of (Western) audience with the clouds and rain, suggesting that the opportunity clouds can create rain in the form of further ideas. The range of possible visual metaphors that can be deployed is vast, from iceberg, to roadmaps, and from mountain paths to sailing or racing. In comparison to analytical visualization, such as the Venn diagram in Figure 2, visual metaphors prompt emotional response from the audience and are remembered better.

D. Prototype

The main function of the “Prototype” phase is to translate ideas into the physical world and create something tangible. Creating prototypes in early stages should be rough and rapid and are most successful when the user or team can interact with it. Prototypes help to further gain empathy, explore and test ideas and get inspiration in order to learn, solve disagreements, start conversations and fail quickly and cheaply which can be summarized as the solution-building process.

![Customer Journey Mapping of Health Cloud App](source: HPI Potsdam, Basic track 2017/18)

Main function: Making the idea of the app explicit and anticipating the customer experience in order to invite feedback from team members and users

What is represented: The customer journey of patients using the Health Cloud App for blood donation

How it is visualized: with conceptual and metaphoric hand drawings

Medium: whiteboard, paper, room/space, or flipchart

Prototypes can be distinguished in low-resolution prototypes such as sketching and drawings to high-resolution prototypes such as objects and role play. Visualization is often employed to draw the environment or scene, the props of the experience such as an App and the roles of people associated with the prototype, this result could be a customer journey mapping, storyboards and also concrete sketches of apps and processes. It is important at this stage to produce rough and rapid prototypes that signal “work in progress” [24] and “low perceived finishedness” [25] to invite modification and feedback.

E. Test

The main function of the “test” phase is to refine the solution by putting the prototypes in the life of the users and
test the prototypes with them. By testing and refining the prototypes, design thinking teams learn more about the user and might even refine their problem statement aka “point of view” (POV).

In opposition to classic innovation approaches, design thinking teams seek to test their prototypes early on with users to learn from their feedback, refine the solutions and build new prototypes. For this reason, prototyping and testing should be done rapidly to fail early and cheaply. Visualization has the power to quickly generate a tangible output by sketching and using post-it’s, easily attract attention and trigger emotional response in the audience and make it easy for them to give feedback as the prototypes are signaling “work in progress” [26].

Having described the main functions of each of the five phases of design thinking and given an example of a visualization method to support design thinking teams in their efforts in each phase, the remaining part of this paper covers the limitations and implications and finished with the conclusion.

IV. LIMITATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This paper has been a first attempt to describe visualization methods used in the five phases of design thinking with the aim to inform and support reflection of practitioners and researchers about the role of visualization in design thinking. Limitations arise from the set of examples that were present to the authors, there are many more visualizations in other design thinking projects. We further want to make explicit that those visualization act as illustrative examples and not as the “standard”. We also acknowledge that all five phases include divergent and convergent phases and that the visualizations covered in this article cover only one of those two phases.

We hope that by making the functions of visualization explicit in general and showcasing examples for each of the design thinking phases, design thinking practitioners and researchers are inspired to think of and make use of visualizations more consciously in the future. We also hope to create a more sophisticated overview of the current use of visuals within design thinking in the future and add new forms of visuals for design thinking teams, such as in the first attempt of Eppler and Kernbach by introducing the Sankey diagram as well as the Confluence Dynagram to design thinking teams [27].

Future research might investigate the enactment of visualization methods in depths through an ethnographic approach observing and interviewing design thinking practitioners. Quantitative investigation could compare different kind of visualization methods in an experimental setup. In addition, the use of new visualization methods, such as Navicons [28], digital knowledge mapping techniques [29] or Dynagrams [30], could be explored to further enhance the productivity of design thinking teams. One should also not forget the communication between the design thinking team and the (corporate) partner which requires clear and transparent communication of processes and decisions as well as their value. This aspects warrants careful study in the future to better understand the impact of design thinking in the (business) world.

V. CONCLUSION

Design thinking is providing a new approach to creativity and innovation that is human-centred, integrating early prototypes and testing with users. It is currently very popular among business innovators and touches even upon all aspects of life including careers, i.e. the Stanford course ‘designing your life’.

It provides a new approach including a process, methods and mind-set. A central piece of design thinking in terms of the methods (e.g. be visual) but also in bringing the mind-sets alive (e.g. bias-to-action) is the use of visualization. It is therefore not surprising that the first course of design thinking, even long before d.school at Stanford had started, was on visual thinking and its importance for design.

Despite this importance, the use of visualization within design thinking happens with little reflection and knowledge about its functions, benefits and suitability to the situation and goals at hand. This might even hinder or ruin the effectiveness and development of design thinking teams and their solution to the identified problems.

Therefore, this paper is a first attempt to shed light on the use of visual thinking with design thinking by suggesting the conceptual framework and illustrative examples. We
hope to inspire both practitioners as well as researchers to be more conscious in using visualization methods and better understand how it affects the creativity, effectiveness and efficiency of design thinking (teams).
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